# Phase developments in $Pb(Mg_{1/2}W_{1/2})O_3$ and $Pb(Zn_{1/2}W_{1/2})O_3$ via B-site precursor route

Woo-Joon Lee · Nam-Kyoung Kim

Received: 5 November 2007/Accepted: 26 February 2008/Published online: 23 March 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

Abstract Phase formation stages of MgWO<sub>4</sub> and ZnWO<sub>4</sub> (precursor compositions for following steps) were investigated by monitoring the reactions of oxide chemicals at various temperatures. Developed phases were examined by using X-ray diffraction (XRD). Successive attempts were also conducted for Pb(Mg<sub>1/2</sub>W<sub>1/2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (PMW) and Pb(Zn<sub>1/2</sub>  $W_{1/2}O_3$  (PZW) by reacting PbO with the precursor compounds. Stages of phase development in the two compositions were also analyzed. The results are compared with those of another tungsten-containing perovskite Pb(Fe<sub>2/3</sub>W<sub>1/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (PFW) and its B-site precursor Fe<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>. After PbO addition to the precursor powders, a perovskite phase formed directly (i.e., without any intermediate phases) in the case of PMW. For PbO  $+ \frac{1}{2}$ ZnWO<sub>4</sub>, in contrast, the decomposition of ZnWO<sub>4</sub> and preferential reaction with PbO resulted in Pb<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>5</sub> and ZnO, instead of the perovskite PZW.

# Introduction

Lead magnesium tungstate Pb(Mg<sub>1/2</sub>W<sub>1/2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (PMW) is an antiferroelectric orthorhombic perovskite with a Curie temperature of 38 °C [1], in which the B-site sublattice cations of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and W<sup>2+</sup> have been reported to be 1:1 ordered [2, 3]. In contrast, Pb(Zn<sub>1/2</sub>W<sub>1/2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (PZW), although an identical stoichiometry to PMW, has not as yet been formed into a perovskite structure. It should be noted

W.-J. Lee  $\cdot$  N.-K. Kim ( $\boxtimes$ )

School of Materials Science and Engineering,

Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea e-mail: nkkim@knu.ac.kr that the expression of PZW in the present paper does not necessarily indicate formation of a perovskite structure.

Several reaction sequences regarding the formation of Pb(B',B")O<sub>3</sub>-type complex perovskite have been previously reported, e.g., Pb(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [4–11], Pb(Ni<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [12], Pb(Fe<sub>1/2</sub>Nb<sub>1/2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [5, 11, 13–16], Pb(Fe<sub>2/3</sub>W<sub>1/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> (PFW) [13, 14, 16–18], Pb(Fe<sub>1/2</sub>Ta<sub>1/2</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [19], Pb(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Ta<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [20], etc. However, comparable results for PMW and PZW have rarely been reported. Therefore, reaction stages of MgWO<sub>4</sub> and ZnWO<sub>4</sub> from constituent oxide chemicals were investigated in detail in the present study. Phase developments of perovskite in PMW and PZW were also examined and the results are compared with those of another W-containing compound PFW. In the powder preparation of PMW and PZW, a B-site precursor method [16, 21] was utilized, which is conceptually identical to the columbite process [6], but is a more comprehensive expression.

# Experimental

Starting materials were high-purity oxide chemicals of PbO (99.5%), MgO (99.9%), ZnO (99.8%), and WO<sub>3</sub> (>99%). In order to preclude the effects of moisture (adsorbed to the raw chemicals), moisture contents of the chemicals and of the synthesized precursor powders (MgWO<sub>4</sub> and ZnWO<sub>4</sub>) were measured and introduced into the batch calculations.

MgO + WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnO + WO<sub>3</sub> (by 1:1 molar ratio) powders were mixed in ethyl alcohol for 12 h with ZrO<sub>2</sub> milling media in polyethylene bottles. To form B-site precursor compounds, the slurries were dried overnight and reacted in alumina crucible (heating rate = 5 °C/min) with 2 h soaking at terminal temperatures of 450–1,000 °C at 50 °C increments. The heat-treated powders were examined by means of X-ray diffraction (XRD, MO3X-HF Model 1031, MacScience Co., Ltd, Japan) using a Cu target (30 kV, 30 mA) with a monochromator at a scanning speed of  $4^{\circ}2\theta$ /min to monitor the formed phases. B-site precursor powders were then mixed with stoichiometric proportions of PbO, followed by similar steps of drying and reactions from somewhat lower temperatures of 400 °C up to 1,000 °C (also at 50 °C increments). Fractions of the final products (B-site precursor, perovskite, as well as other compositions) were determined by intensity comparison among the constituent phases.

# **Results and discussion**

after reactions of (a)

 $MgO + WO_3$  and (b)  $ZnO + WO_3$ 

XRD results of MgO + WO<sub>3</sub> after different reaction temperatures are contrasted in Fig. 1a. MgO and WO<sub>3</sub> did not react at temperatures up to 550 °C and diffraction spectra of 450 and 500 °C were virtually identical to that at 550 °C (mixed state of the two reactants). The two oxides started to react at 550-600 °C, resulting in the development of MgWO<sub>4</sub> (wolframite structure, ICDD No. 27-789). With further increase in temperatures, the MgWO<sub>4</sub> peaks increased in relative heights by consuming the two reactants, reached full intensities at 750 °C, and remained virtually unchanged at higher reaction temperatures of up to 1,000 °C. For  $ZnO + WO_3$  (Fig. 1b), phase developmental stages were similar, with the exception of ZnWO<sub>4</sub> formation (wolframite structure, ICDD No. 15-774) starting at substantially lower temperatures. Once the formation of ZnWO<sub>4</sub> was completed at 600 °C, the diffractograms remained virtually unchanged at higher temperatures of up to 1,000 °C.

Temperature-dependent formation results of the B-site precursor MgWO<sub>4</sub> and ZnWO<sub>4</sub> are plotted in Fig. 2, where values of another tungstate Fe<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> (a precursor for the synthesis of perovskite PFW [16]) are also included for comparison purposes. All three precursor compounds developed from constituent oxides without any intermediate phases. Additionally, they did not decompose nor form any other phases at up to 1,000 °C. However, phase fractions of MgWO<sub>4</sub> were displaced slightly from those of ZnWO<sub>4</sub>, indicating that reaction temperatures of the former were 50-70 °C higher than those of the latter. Nevertheless, the two compounds formed at substantially lower temperatures, when compared with Fe<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>.

X-ray diffractograms for the formation of PMW are displayed in Fig. 3a, where the two reactants of PbO and MgWO<sub>4</sub> did not react at 400 °C. A PMW perovskite of orthorhombic symmetry (ICDD No. 46-1499) began to form at 450 °C. The intensity levels of perovskite increased with increasing temperatures, while those of the reactants decreased and finally disappeared at 650 °C. At higher temperatures, the patterns of perovskite were solely observed without any development of second phase. In contrast, the reaction results of PbO +  $\frac{1}{2}$ ZnWO<sub>4</sub> (Fig. 3b) were significantly different, where the perovskite did not develop at all throughout the investigated temperature range. Instead, ZnO was decomposed from ZnWO<sub>4</sub>, resulting in the derivation of WO<sub>3</sub>, to which PbO (two times in molar ratio) was combined to form Pb<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>5</sub> (ICDD No. 36-1495). The two phases did not react, but persisted up to temperatures of 850 °C, followed by decomposition/melting at higher temperatures. The results indicate that even the B-site precursor method is not effective in the formation of the perovskite PZW. When compared with readily formed PMW, synthesis failure of perovskite PZW is believed to be attributed to the more covalent nature of Zn, which prefers 4-fold coordination [22, 23]. In addition, electronegativity differences [24] of





Fig. 2 Phase fractions versus calcination temperature of MgWO\_4, ZnWO\_4, and Fe\_2WO\_6



Fig. 4 Phase development yields of PMW,  $Pb_2WO_5$ ,  $Pb_2FeWO_{6.5}$ , and PFW versus calcination temperature

Zn–O and Mg–O are 1.8 and 2.2, respectively, supporting the results. Slightly larger (0.0740 nm) ionic size of Zn [25], as compared with 0.0720 nm [25] of Mg, also appears to be a factor, when considering the perovskite formability in Pb(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> by the B-site precursor method [6, 9] versus inability in Pb(Zn<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub> [22, 23].

Phase yields monitored after the reactions for PMW and PZW are presented in Fig. 4, in conjunction with the results of PFW [16]. As discussed above, perovskite PMW formed directly from the reactants without intermediate phases. In contrast, perovskite PZW did not develop at all; hence, only the fractions of  $Pb_2WO_5$  are plotted. Meanwhile, perovskite PFW formed at much higher temperatures at the expense of the pyrochlore  $Pb_2FeWO_{6.5}$ , which developed at intermediate temperatures from PbO and B-site precursor  $Fe_2WO_6$  [16, 17]. However, the PFW

perovskite persisted at temperatures of only up to 900 °C, followed immediately by melting at 935 °C [26].

### Conclusion

B-site precursor compounds MgWO<sub>4</sub> and ZnWO<sub>4</sub> developed directly from equimolar mixtures of MgO + WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnO + WO<sub>3</sub>, respectively, without any formation of intermediate phases. However, phase formation temperatures of MgWO<sub>4</sub> were somewhat higher than those of ZnWO<sub>4</sub>. After the addition of PbO to precursor powders and subsequent reactions, the formation of perovskite PMW started at 450 °C (directly from the reactants, without intermediate phases) and was completed at 650 °C. For PbO + ½ZnWO<sub>4</sub>, in contrast, the decomposition of





ZnWO<sub>4</sub>, followed by a subsequent reaction of the WO<sub>3</sub> component with PbO, resulted in a mixed state of  $Pb_2WO_5$  and ZnO, rather than the desired perovskite phase.

#### References

- 1. Shuvalov LA, Minaeva KA (1963) Sov Phys-Dokl 7(10):906
- 2. Agranovskaya AI (1960) Bull Acad Sci USSR, Phys Ser 24:1271
- 3. Isupov VA (1963) Sov Phys-Solid State 5:136
- 4. Inada M (1977) Jpn Natl Tech Rept 27:95
- 5. Lejeune M, Boilot JP (1982) Ceram Int 8:99
- 6. Swartz SL, Shrout TR (1982) Mater Res Bull 17:1245
- 7. Lejeune M, Boilot JP (1983) Ceram Int 9:119
- 8. Lejeune M, Boilot JP (1984) Ferroelectrics 54:191
- 9. Kim N-K (1997) Mater Lett 32:127
- Ananta S, Brydson R, Thomas NW (1999) J Eur Ceram Soc 19:355
- 11. Yu Y, Feng C, Li C, Yang Y, Yao W, Yan H (2001) Mater Lett 51:490
- Babushkin O, Lindbaeck T, Luc J-C, Leblais J-Y M (1998) J Eur Ceram Soc 18:737

- Yonezawa M, Ohno T (1982) Japan-US Study Seminar on Dielectric and Piezoelectric Ceramics, T-8, 1–4
- Kassarjian MP, Newnham RE, Biggers JV (1985) Am Ceram Soc Bull 64:1108
- 15. Fu S-L, Chen G-F (1988) Ferroelectrics 82:119
- 16. Lee B-H, Kim N-K, Kim J-J, Cho S-H (1998) Ferroelectrics 211:233
- Lu C-H, Shinozaki K, Kato M, Mizutani N (1991) J Mater Sci 26:1009. doi:10.1007/BF00576779
- Lu C-H, Shinozaki K, Mizutani N (1992) J Am Ceram Soc 75:1303
- 19. Park B-O, Kim N-K (1999) Mater Lett 40:246
- 20. Park T-K, Kim N-K, Lee C-H, Lee J-Y (2005) Mater Lett 59:588
- 21. Ananta S, Thomas NW (1999) J Eur Ceram Soc 19:155
- 22. Halliyal A, Kumar U, Newnham RE, Cross LE (1987) Am Ceram Soc Bull 66:671
- 23. Shrout TR, Halliyal A (1987) Am Ceram Soc Bull 66:704
- Smith WF (1990) Principles of materials science and engineering, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill, Singapore, p 37
- 25. Shannon RD (1976) Acta Crystallogr A 32:751
- 26. Kim N-K, Payne DA (1990) J Mater Res 5:2045